

The opinion in support of the errata being entered today was not written for publication and is not binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 28

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

---

Ex parte KATHLEEN RYALL,  
JOSEPH MARKS  
and STUART MERRILL SHIEBER

---

Appeal No. 2001-0670  
Application No. 08/932,725

---

ON BRIEF

---

Before GROSS, LEVY, and SAADAT, Administrative Patent Judges.  
LEVY, Administrative Patent Judge.

ERRATA

Upon further review of our Decision, mailed December 12, 2003, we find that an obvious oversight occurred on page 22. The rejection of claims 15 and 16 should have been reversed due to their dependency from claim 13. Accordingly, the rejection of claims 15 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is reversed. The remainder of the Decision stands unchanged.

Appeal No. 2001-0670  
Application No. 08/932,725

No time period for taking any subsequent action in connection with this appeal may be extended under 37 CFR § 1.136(a).

ERRATA

ANITA PELLMAN GROSS	)	
Administrative Patent Judge	)	
	)	
	)	BOARD OF PATENT
	)	
	)	APPEALS AND
STUART S. LEVY	)	
Administrative Patent Judge	)	INTERFERENCES
	)	
	)	
	)	
	)	
MAHSHID D. SAADAT	)	
Administrative Patent Judge	)	

Appeal No. 2001-0670  
Application No. 08/932,725

MITSUBISHI ELECTRIC INFORMATION  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER AMERICA  
8TH FLOOR  
201 BROADWAY  
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139