

Filed by: Merits Panel  
Mail Stop Interference  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria Va 22313-1450  
Tel: 571-272-9797  
Fax: 571-273-0042

Paper 125

Filed 26 September 2006

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

---

JOSEPH SCOTT **STAM**, JON HAROLD BECHTEL  
and JOHN KING ROBERTS  
Junior Party  
(Patent 5,837,994),

v.

KENNETH **SCHOFIELD**, MARK L. LARSON  
and KEITH J. VADAS  
Senior Party  
(Application 09/441,341).

---

Patent Interference No. 105,325 (SCM)

---

Before: MARTIN, LEE, and MEDLEY, Administrative Patent Judges.

MEDLEY, Administrative Patent Judge.

**Judgment - Bd.R. 127(b) and Bd.R. 201**

1           As a result of the decision on motions it was determined that Schofield lacks standing  
2 (Bd.R. 201) with respect to Count 3 (Paper 119, pages 15-23) . It was also determined that  
3 Stam's claims 36-40 corresponding to Count 1 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 305 (Paper  
4 119, pages 54-57). Stam's priority statement fails to allege a date that is earlier than Schofield's  
5 accorded benefit date with respect to Count 1 and Count 2.

1           Accordingly, it is

2           **ORDERED** that judgment on priority as to Count 1 (Paper 1 at 4) in the interference is  
3 awarded against junior party JOSEPH SCOTT **STAM**, JON HAROLD BECHTEL  
4 and JOHN KING ROBERTS<sup>1</sup>.

5           **FURTHER ORDERED** that judgment as to Stam claims 36-40 is awarded against  
6 junior party JOSEPH SCOTT **STAM**, JON HAROLD BECHTEL and JOHN KING ROBERTS

7           **FURTHER ORDERED** that junior party JOSEPH SCOTT **STAM**, JON HAROLD  
8 BECHTEL and JOHN KING ROBERTS is not entitled to a patent containing claims 36-40  
9 (corresponding to Count 1) of U.S. patent 5,837,994.

10          **FURTHER ORDERED** that judgment on priority as to Count 2 (Paper 1 at 5) in the  
11 interference is awarded against junior party JOSEPH SCOTT **STAM**, JON HAROLD  
12 BECHTEL and JOHN KING ROBERTS.

13          **FURTHER ORDERED** that junior party JOSEPH SCOTT **STAM**, JON HAROLD  
14 BECHTEL and JOHN KING ROBERTS is not entitled to a patent containing claims 44-49  
15 (corresponding to Count 2) of patent 5,837,994.

16          **FURTHER ORDERED** that judgment as to Count 3 (Paper 1 at 5) in the interference is  
17 awarded against senior party KENNETH **SCHOFIELD**, MARK L. LARSON and KEITH J.  
18 VADAS.

---

<sup>1</sup> The examiner of application 11/301,472 is directed to In re Deckler, 977 F.2d 1449, 24 USPQ2d 1448 (Fed. Cir. 1992) regarding the patentability of claims presented that are identical to claims 36-40 of the Stam 5,837,994 patent.

1           **FURTHER ORDERED** that senior party **KENNETH SCHOFIELD, MARK L.**  
2   LARSON and **KEITH J. VADAS** is not entitled to a patent containing claim 99 (corresponding  
3   to Count 3) of application 09/441,341.

4           **FURTHER ORDERED** that a copy of this paper shall be made of record in the files of  
5   application 09/441,341 and U.S. Patent 5,837,994.

6           **FURTHER ORDERED** that Stam shall, within five days of the date of this order, file a  
7   copy of this paper in application 11/301,472.

8           **FURTHER ORDERED** that if there is a settlement agreement, attention is directed to 35  
9   U.S.C. § 135(c) and Bd.R. 205.

10

11	<u>/John C. Martin/</u>	)
12	JOHN C. MARTIN	)
13	Administrative Patent Judge	)
14		)
15		)
16	<u>/Jameson Lee/</u>	)BOARD OF PATENT
17	JAMESON LEE	) APPEALS AND
18	Administrative Patent Judge	) INTERFERENCES
19		)
20		)
21	<u>/Sally C. Medley/</u>	)
22	SALLY C. MEDLEY	)
23	Administrative Patent Judge	)
24		)

25

1 cc (via e-mail):

2 [malcolm.mcgowan@bingham.com](mailto:malcolm.mcgowan@bingham.com)

3 [bill.hughet@bingham.com](mailto:bill.hughet@bingham.com)

4 [linn@vglb.com](mailto:linn@vglb.com)

5 [flory@vglb.com](mailto:flory@vglb.com)

6

7