

THIS DOCUMENT WAS NOT WRITTEN FOR PUBLICATION  
AND IS NOT BINDING PRECEDENT OF THE BOARD

Filed by: Trial Section Merits Panel  
Mail Stop INTERFERENCE  
Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
Tel: 571-272-9797 Fax: 571-273-0042

Paper No. 24  
Entered December 30, 2005

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

WILLIAM C.C. BULLARD, KEVIN FARRELL  
STEVEN BALL and DANIEL O. MAHONEY, II  
Junior Party  
(U.S. Patent No. 6,405,251)<sup>1</sup>

v.

LIMOR SCHWEITZER, ERAN WAGNER and  
TAL GIVOLY

Senior Party  
(Application 10/012,962)<sup>2</sup>

Patent Interference No. 105,385

Before LEE, TIERNEY and NAGUMO, Administrative Patent Judge.

LEE, Administrative Patent Judge.

**Judgment – Bd. Rule 127(b)**

<sup>1</sup> Based on Application 09/276,201, filed March 25, 1999. The real party in interest is Nortel Networks Limited.

<sup>2</sup> Filed December 7, 2001. Accorded the benefit of Patent No. 6,418,467, filed on November 18, 1999; International Application PCT/US98/24963, filed November 20, 1998; and Provisional Applications 60/109,095, filed November 19, 1998, and 60/066,898, filed November 20, 1997. The real party in interest is AMDOC(ISRAEL) LTD.



Interference No. 105,385  
Bullard v. Schweitzer

Junior party has filed a paper conceding priority as to the subject matter of the count in this interference. (Paper No. 23). The concession of priority is treated as a request for entry of adverse judgment. 37 CFR § 41.127(b). The request is **granted**. It is

**ORDERED** that judgment as to the subject matter of Count 1 is herein entered against the junior party WILLIAM C.C. BULLARD, KEVIN FARRELL, STEVEN BALL, and DANIEL O. MAHONEY, II;

**FURTHER ORDERED** that the junior party WILLIAM C.C. BULLARD, KEVIN FARRELL, STEVEN BALL, and DANIEL O. MAHONEY, II is not entitled to a patent containing its patent claims 1-22 which correspond to Count 1;

**FURTHER ORDERED** that if there is a settlement agreement, the parties should note the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 135(c) and Bd. Rule 205; and

**FURTHER ORDERED** that a copy of this judgment be placed in the respective involved application or patent of the parties.

Interference No. 105,385  
Bullard v. Schweitzer

<u>/ss/ Jameson Lee</u>	)	
JAMESON LEE	)	
Administrative Patent Judge	)	
	)	
	)	
	)	
<u>/ss/ Michael P. Tierney</u>	)	BOARD OF PATENT
MICHAEL P. TIERNEY	)	APPEALS
Administrative Patent Judge	)	AND
	)	INTERFERENCES
	)	
	)	
<u>/ss/ Mark Nagumo</u>	)	
MARK NAGUMO	)	
Administrative Patent Judge	)	

Interference No. 105,385  
Bullard v. Schweitzer

By Facsimile:

Counsel for Junior Party Bullard:

919-654-4521 (Fax)  
Steven N. Terranova, Esq.  
Withrow & Terranova, PLLC  
201 Shannon Oaks Circle  
Suite 200  
Cary, North Carolina 27511

Counsel for senior party Schweitzer:

408-971-4660 (Fax)  
Kevin J. Zilka, Esq.  
Zilka-Kotab, PC  
95 S. Market Street, Suite 420  
San Jose, California 95113