

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

GUNTHER SIEGEL
Junior Party
(Application 11/006,653),

v.

THOMAS W. PIPER
Senior Party
(Patent 6,427,357).

Patent Interference No. 105,509 (SCM)

Before: LEE, TORCZON and MEDLEY, Administrative Patent Judges.

MEDLEY, Administrative Patent Judge.

JUDGMENT – Bd.R. 127

1
2
3
4
5
6
7

Siegel has failed to show cause why judgment should not be entered against it (Paper 13).

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that judgment on priority as to Count 1 (Paper 1 at 3) is awarded against junior party **GUNTHER SIEGEL**.

1 **FURTHER ORDERED** that junior party GUNTHER SIEGEL is not
2 entitled to patent containing claims 21-45 (corresponding to Count 1) of
3 application 11/006,653.

4 **FURTHER ORDERED** that a copy of this paper shall be made of record in
5 files of application 11/006,653 and U.S. Patent 6,427,357.

6 **FURTHER ORDERED** that if there is a settlement agreement, attention is
7 directed to 35 U.S.C. §135(c) and Bd.R. 205.

8
9

10	<u>/Jameson Lee/</u>)	
11	JAMESON LEE)	
12	Administrative Patent Judge)	
13)	
14)	
15	<u>/Richard Torczon/</u>)	BOARD OF PATENT
16	RICHARD TORCZON)	APPEALS AND
17	Administrative Patent Judge)	INTERFERENCES
18)	
19)	
20	<u>/Sally C. Medley/</u>)	
21	SALLY C. MEDLEY)	
22	Administrative Patent Judge)	

23
24

25 cc (via e-mail):
26 jbtaphorn@prodigy.net
27 mielertw@sbcglobal.net