The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was *not* written for publication and is *not* binding precedent of the Board.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte JOHN FREDERICK ACKERMAN,
BANGALORE ASWATHA NAGARAJ, and
BRETT ALLEN BOUTWELL

Appeal 2007-0687
Application 10/797,422
Technology Center 1700

Decided: July 18, 2007

Before RICHARD E. SCHAFER, ADRIENE LEPIANE HANLON, and SALLY G. LANE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

HANLON, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION ON APPEAL

A. STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Appellants appeal under 35 U.S.C. § 134 (2006) from a final rejection of claims 17-30 and 32-38, all of the claims pending in the application. We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 6(b) (2006).

The Appellants' invention is directed to a method for preparing a thermal barrier coating that provides at least partial protection against environmental contaminants. The thermal barrier coating includes a porous outer layer which is treated with a liquid composition comprising an alumina precursor. The alumina precursor infiltrates the porous outer layer of the thermal barrier coating and is converted in situ to alumina.

Claim 17 is representative of the subject matter on appeal and reads as follows:

> A method for preparing a thermal barrier coating protected by infiltrated alumina that overlies a metal substrate, the method comprising the steps of:
>
> 1. providing a thermal barrier coating overlaying a metal substrate, the thermal barrier coating including a porous outer layer having an exposed surface and comprising a non-alumina ceramic thermal barrier coating material in an amount up to 100%;
> 2. treating the porous outer layer with a liquid composition comprising an alumina precursor to infiltrate the porous outer layer with the alumina precursor in an amount sufficient to provide, when converted to alumina, at least partial protection of the thermal barrier coating against environmental contaminants that become deposited on the exposed surface; and
> 3. converting in situ the infiltrated alumina precursor within the porous outer layer to alumina.

The Examiner relies on the following evidence in rejecting the claims on appeal:

Spence et al. ("Spence")	US 5,324,544	Jun. 28, 1994
Hasz et al. ("Hasz")	US 5,871,820	Feb. 16, 1999

Rigney et al. ("Rigney") US 6,274,193 Aug, 4, 2001

4 Ceramics and Glasses 11, 752-53 (ASM International 1991) ("Ceramics and Glasses").

B. ISSUES

Have the Appellants sustained their burden of showing that the Examiner erred in rejecting claims 17-25, 27-30, 32-35, and 37 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Spence and Hasz?

Have the Appellants sustained their burden of showing that the Examiner erred in rejecting claims 26 and 36 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Spence, Hasz, and Ceramics and Glasses?

Have the Appellants sustained their burden of showing that the Examiner erred in rejecting claims 32 and 38 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Rigney, Spence, and Hasz?

C. FINDINGS OF FACT

The following findings of fact are believed to be supported by a preponderance of the evidence. Additional findings of fact as necessary appear in the Analysis portion of the opinion.

According to the Appellants' specification, the term "non-alumina thermal barrier coating material" refers to those coating materials (other than alumina) that are capable of reducing heat flow to the underlying metal substrate of the article, i.e., forming a thermal barrier. Suitable non-alumina ceramic thermal barrier coating materials include yttria-stabilized zirconias. Specification, p. 5, l. 30 - p. 6, l. 11.

Further, according to the Appellants' specification, the terms "alumina" and "aluminum oxide" refer interchangeably to those compounds and compositions comprising Al_2O_3. Specification, p. 5, ll. 27-29.

The Appellants disclose that the term "alumina precursor" refers to those aluminum compounds that are capable of being converted to alumina. Suitable alumina precursors include alumina sols and aluminum alkoxides. Specification, p. 12, ll. 3-8.

Hasz discloses a method for protecting thermal barrier coatings from degradation caused by environmental contaminants. Hasz, col. 1, ll. 9-11.

Environmental contaminants include contaminants from fuel and air sources. Hasz, col. 2, ll. 64-67.

More specifically, the invention disclosed in Hasz relates to the use of an impermeable coating on a thermal barrier coating, where the impermeable coating reduces infiltration of liquid contaminant compositions into the thermal barrier coating. Hasz, col. 1, ll. 11-15.

According to Hasz, thermal barrier coatings are deposited onto gas turbine and other heat engine parts to reduce heat flow and to limit the operating temperature of metal parts. These coatings are generally a ceramic material, such as yttria-stabilized zirconia ceramic coating. Hasz, col. 1, ll. 19-27.

Hasz discloses that the ideal system for a hot high temperature engine part consists of a thermal barrier ceramic layer deposited onto a bond coat which exhibits good corrosion resistance and closely matched thermal expansion coefficients. Hasz, col. 1, ll. 41-45.

Hasz further discloses that it has been discovered that degradation of thermal barrier coatings by environmental contaminants that form molten contaminant compositions can be prevented by depositing impermeable barrier coatings on surfaces of thermal barrier coatings. An impermeable barrier coating inhibits the degradation of the thermal barrier coating when in contact with the molten contaminant composition at operating temperatures of the thermal barrier coating. Hasz, col. 2, ll. 45-53.

The impermeable barrier coating prevents infiltration or viscous flow of liquid contaminant compositions into thermal barrier coating cracks, openings, and pores. Hasz, col. 2, ll. 54-56.

The impermeable coating is a ceramic or metal outer coating, deposited on the outer surface of the thermal barrier coating. Hasz, col. 3, ll. 40-42.

Impermeable barrier coatings include aluminum oxide. Hasz, col. 3, ll. 45-52.

The impermeable barrier coating may be deposited on the thermal barrier coating by coating methods known in the art, such as sol-gel. Hasz, col. 4, ll. 25-27.

Spence discloses a method for reducing coke formation on metallic substrates such as fuel contacting components of gas turbines. Spence, col. 3, ll. 9-12.

Coke deposition is an undesirable side effect caused by the catalytic-thermal degradation of hydrocarbon fuels during their consumption in gas turbine engines. Spence, col. 1, ll. 14-17.

A thermally resistant barrier layer is applied to the surface of the component to prevent contact of the fuel with catalytic agents such as iron, nickel, and chromium contained in the base metals from which fuel contacting components are fashioned. Specifically, the fuel contacting components are coated with a thin, high temperature resistant layer of alumina and silica, applied in specific ratios, from a specially formulated sol-gel. Spence, col. 3, ll. 12-22.

Spence discloses that while the specification speaks in terms of preparing sols of alumina and silica, it is intended that this terminology encompass those known sols of mixtures of metals and compounds of metals which will yield metal oxide mixtures upon deposition and subsequent heating. Spence, col. 4, ll. 22-26.

Spence discloses that the alumina sol component of the mixed sol may be prepared by the hydrolysis and peptization of the corresponding organo-metallic compounds in an aqueous medium. Preferred organo-metallic compounds include aluminum alkoxides. Spence, col. 5, ll. 11-17.

Spence discloses that deposition of the sol may be accomplished by infiltration, spray, brush application, dipping, or immersion-evaporation techniques. Spence, col. 10, ll. 42-44.

The method disclosed in Spence may be used to provide protective coatings to a wide variety of substrates. Spence, col. 3, ll. 26-29.

Substrates include various ceramics. Spence, col. 4, ll. 40-42.

Ceramics and Glasses discloses that alumina is produced by heating hydrates of alumina. A number of transitional alumina structures can form

initially with increasing temperatures, but all structures are transformed irreversibly to alpha alumina. Ceramics and Glasses, p. 752.

According to the Appellants' specification, thermally heated aluminum alkoxides are typically converted to the form of finely divided alpha alumina. Specification, p. 13, ll. 31-32.

Rigney discloses a method for repairing a protective coating on an article. Rigney, col. 1, ll. 9-11.

Articles include gas turbine engine components. Rigney, col. 3, ll. 27-32.

One form of the method includes removing a ceramic thermal barrier coating, repairing the underlying metallic environmental resistant coating, and replacing the thermal barrier coating. Rigney, col. 5, l. 15 - col. 6, l. 53.

The method disclosed in Rigney also includes removing and replacing the entire thermal barrier coating of a gas turbine engine component. Rigney, col. 7, ll. 5-32.

The thermal barrier coatings disclosed in Rigney include zirconia stabilized with yttria. Rigney, col. 3, ll. 63-65.

D. PRINCIPLES OF LAW

The Examiner bears the initial burden of presenting a prima facie case of unpatentability. *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 1445, 24 USPQ2d 1443, 1444 (Fed. Cir. 1992). After a prima facie case of unpatentability has been established, the burden of going forward shifts to the applicant. *In re Piasecki*, 745 F.2d 1468, 1472, 223 USPQ 785, 788 (Fed. Cir, 1984).

A claimed invention is not patentable if the subject matter of the claimed invention would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill

in the art. 35 U.S.C. § 103(a); *KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*, 127 S. Ct. 1727, 82 USPQ2d 1385 (2007); *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1 (1966).

Facts relevant to a determination of obviousness include (1) the scope and content of the prior art, (2) any differences between the claimed invention and the prior art, (3) the level of skill in the art, and (4) any relevant objective evidence of obviousness or non-obviousness. *KSR*, 127 S. Ct. at 1734, 82 USPQ2d at 1389, *Graham*, 383 U.S. at 17-18.

One of ordinary skill in the art is presumed to have skills apart from what the prior art references expressly disclose. *See In re Sovish*, 769 F.2d 738, 743, 226 USPQ 771, 774 (Fed. Cir. 1985). A person of ordinary skill is also a person of ordinary creativity, not an automaton. *KSR*, 127 S. Ct. at 1742, 82 USPQ2d at 1397.

The question under 35 U.S.C. § 103 is not merely what the references teach but what they would have suggested to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. All disclosures of the prior art, including unpreferred embodiments, must be considered. *In re Lamberti*, 545 F.2d 747, 750, 192 USPQ 278, 280 (CCPA 1976).

An express suggestion to substitute one equivalent for another need not be present to render such a substitution obvious. *In re Fout*, 675 F.2d 297, 301, 213 USPQ 532, 536 (CCPA 1982).

A rejection premised upon a proper combination of references cannot be overcome by attacking the references individually. *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 426, 208 USPQ 871, 882 (CCPA 1981).

The discovery of an optimum value of a result effective variable in a known process is ordinarily within the skill of the art. However, a prima facie case of obviousness may be rebutted where the results of optimizing a variable, which was known to be result effective, are unexpectedly good. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 275, 205 USPQ 215, 219 (CCPA 1980).

In proceedings before the USPTO, claims in an application are given their broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification. *In re Sneed*, 710 F.2d 1544, 1548, 218 USPQ 385, 388 (Fed. Cir. 1983).

A dictionary may be consulted when construing a claim term, so long as the dictionary is not used to contradict the meaning of a claim term that is unambiguous in light of the intrinsic evidence. *Phillips v. AWH Corp.*, 415 F.3d 1303, 1324, 75 USPQ2d 1321, 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2005).

An applicant's description of the chemistry of his process as producing the same product as a process of the prior art is a statement of chemical fact and may be relied on to establish that the processes prima facie produce the same product. *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 697, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

E. ANALYSIS

1. Claims 17-25, 27, and 28[1]

The Examiner found that Hasz discloses an aluminum oxide (alumina) coating which protects a thermal barrier coating from environmental contaminants. The Examiner found that the thermal barrier coating disclosed in Hasz consists of a ceramic layer, particularly yttria-stabilized zirconia. Answer 4.

[1] The Appellants argue claims 17-25 and 27 as a group.

Hasz discloses that the alumina coating can be deposited on the thermal barrier coating by a sol-gel process. Hasz, col. 4, ll. 25-27. However, Hasz does not discloses that the alumina may be applied as an alumina precursor and converted in situ to alumina.

The Examiner found that Spence discloses an alumina/silica coating which protects against environmental contaminants. The coating may be applied as an alumina/silica precursor that yields an alumina/silica coating upon deposition and subsequent heating. The Examiner found that Spence discloses that the coating may be applied to various substrates, including ceramics. Answer 3-4.

The Examiner concluded that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the alumina/silica protective coating disclosed in Spence on the thermal barrier coating disclosed in Hasz to provide an alternative means for protecting the thermal barrier coating in Hasz from environmental contaminants. Answer 5.

The Appellants argue that there is no motivation to combine the teachings of Spence and Hasz because the protective coatings in Spence and Hasz do not protect against the same or even similar environmental contaminants. Br. 7.

The protective coatings in Spence and Hasz each contain alumina and are used to protect gas turbines from fuel source contaminants. Therefore, we find that one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected the coatings in Spence and Hasz to be effective against the same or similar fuel source contaminants.

The Appellants argue that Spence does not teach or suggest that the alumina/silica sol-gel infiltrates a porous outer layer of a thermal barrier coating as in the claimed method. Br. 4. The Appellants also argue that Hasz does not teach or suggest infiltrating the porous outer layer of a thermal barrier coating with an alumina precursor according to the claimed method. Br. 8-9.

The Appellants' arguments are not persuasive. First, the individual teachings of Spence and Hasz cannot be attacked in a rejection based on 35 U.S.C. § 103. Rather, the combined teachings of Spence and Hasz must be evaluated from the perspective of one of ordinary skill in the art.

Hasz discloses that the impermeable aluminum oxide (alumina) coating prevents infiltration or viscous flow of liquid contaminants into the cracks, openings, and pores of the thermal barrier coating. Hasz, col. 2, ll. 45-63. Based on this disclosure, we find that the thermal barrier coating in Hasz has a degree of porosity.

The Examiner found that the coating composition in Spence may be deposited on a substrate as an alumina/silica precursor in a liquid phase and converted in situ to an alumina/silica coating. Answer 4, 10. The Appellants do not dispute this finding.

We find that the liquid coating composition in Spence will necessarily infiltrate cracks, openings, and pores, such as the "cracks, openings, and pores" of the thermal barrier coating disclosed in Hasz. Significantly, the Appellants have failed to direct us to any evidence establishing otherwise. Instead, the Appellants ask us to ignore the Examiner's finding that the thermal barrier coating in Hasz is porous because the finding is not

supported by an Examiner's affidavit. The Examiner's finding will not be ignored because it is supported by a preponderance of the evidence.

For the reasons set forth above, it is reasonable to conclude that the method of claim 1 would have been obvious in view of the combined teachings of Spence and Hasz.

2. Claims 29 and 30

According to the Appellants' specification, the liquid composition comprising the alumina precursor is applied to the porous outer layer of the thermal barrier layer in a manner such that the alumina precursor infiltrates the porous structure of the outer layer. The period of time required for sufficient infiltration of the alumina precursor is said to depend on a variety of factors, including factors well known to those skilled in the art. Typically, the porous outer layer is treated with the liquid composition for a period of time in the range from about 0.1 to about 30 minutes, more typically from about 1 to about 5 minutes. See Specification, p. 12, l. 27-p. 13, l. 9. These treatment times are recited in claims 29 and 30.

The Examiner found that the length of treatment is a result effective variable. The Examiner also found that the optimal treatment time could be determined through routine experimentation. Answer 6-7.

The Appellants argue that the Examiner's position is based on "unsupportable and improper speculation" because Spence and Hasz do not disclose treatment times. The Appellants ask us to give no weight to the "unsupported speculation about the alleged 'obviousness' of the time periods defined in Claims 29-30." The Appellants do not argue that the claimed treatment times are critical. Br. 10-11.

We decline the Appellants' invitation. One of ordinary skill in the art is presumed to have skills apart from what the prior art references expressly disclose. Spence discloses that the alumina/silica sol may be deposited on a substrate by infiltration, spray, brush application, dipping, and immersion-evaporation techniques.. Spence, col. 10, ll. 42-44. Substrates include various ceramics. Spence, col. 4, ll. 40-42. Spence also discloses that care must be taken in the coating procedure to assure complete coverage of the substrate. Spence, col. 10, ll. 18-19.

We find that one of ordinary skill in the art would have understood that the length of time a substrate, such as ceramic, is treated with the alumina/silica sol disclosed in Spence depends on a number of factors, including the porosity of the layer treated and the manner in which the alumina/silica sol is deposited. See Specification, p. 13, ll. 1-6 (time required for sufficient infiltration depends on a variety of factors well known to those skilled in the art). We further find that optimal treatment times could be determined by one of ordinary skill in the art through routine experimentation.

For these reasons, it is reasonable to conclude that the time periods recited in claims 29 and 30 do not impart patentability to the claimed process.

3. Claims 32-35 and 37[2]

The method of claim 32 requires that a "turbine component is in an assembled state" when the porous outer layer on the turbine component is treated with the liquid composition comprising an alumina precursor.

[2] The Appellants argue claims 32-35 and 37 as a group.

Giving the phrase "an assembled state" its broadest reasonable interpretation, the Examiner found that the turbine components described in Spence and Hasz would necessarily be "assembled" when treated. Answer 15.

The Appellants argue that the Examiner does not specifically point out where Spence or Hasz, either separately or in combination, teach or suggest that the turbine component is in an assembled state when it is treated. Br. 11.

The Appellants' specification does not define "turbine component," "component," or "turbine component in an assembled state." Turning to *The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language*, 273 (William Morris ed., New College ed. 1976) (copy attached), "component" is defined as "A simple part, or a relatively complex entity regarded as a part, of a system; element; constituent."

Spence describes coating a "turbine element" with the disclosed alumina/silica sol. Spence, col. 10, ll. 18-37. We find that the "turbine element" described in Spence is a "turbine component" within the meaning of claim 32. The Appellants have failed to explain how the phrase "turbine component in an assembled state" distinguishes the claimed turbine component from the turbine element described in Spence. Moreover, there is no reason to believe that the turbine element in Spence is not in an "assembled state."

As a final note, we find that one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the advantages of treating a turbine component "in an assembled

state," such as preventing deposition of the alumina precursor in unnecessary or unwanted areas of the component.

4. Claims 26 and 36[3]

Claim 26 reads as follows:

> The method of claim 23 wherein the infiltrated aluminum alkoxide is thermally converted to finely divided alpha alumina.

Spence teaches using aluminum alkoxide as an alumina precursor. Spence, col. 5, ll. 11-17. However, the Examiner found that the combined teachings of Spence and Hasz do not expressly disclose that aluminum alkoxide is thermally converted to finely divided alpha alumina. The Examiner found that Ceramics and Glasses discloses that alpha alumina may be produced by heating hydrates of alumina. The Examiner also found that the process described in Ceramics and Glasses is the same as the claimed process. Since the claimed process results in finely divided alumina, the Examiner found that the alpha alumina described in Ceramics and Glasses must also be finely divided. Answer 8.

The Appellants argue that Ceramics and Glasses does not teach or suggest that the alpha alumina formed would be finely divided. The Appellants also argue that the Examiner improperly relied on the Appellants' disclosure to establish that the alpha alumina described in Ceramics and Glasses would necessarily be finely divided. Br. 12.

The Appellants disclose that thermally heated aluminum alkoxides are typically converted to finely divided alpha alumina. Specification, p. 13, ll. 31-32. This disclosure is a statement of chemical fact which may be relied

[3] The Appellants argue claims 26 and 36 as a group.

on to establish that the claimed process and the process described in Ceramics and Glasses prima facie produce the same product.

The Appellants do not disclose that other steps are necessary to thermally convert aluminum alkoxide to finely divided alpha alumina. Therefore, based on the record before us, we find that one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected the thermally produced alpha alumina described in Ceramics and Glasses to be finely divided.

5. Claims 32 and 38

Claim 38 reads as follows:

> The method of claim 32 wherein step (1) comprises providing a refurbished thermal barrier coating that overlays the metal substrate of the turbine component.

The Examiner found that Rigney teaches repairing a damaged turbine component by removing the entire thermal barrier coating, repairing the metal component at the discrete location of the damage, and reapplying the thermal barrier coating. Answer 8.

The Appellants argue that Rigney prefers to use metallic coatings for the disclosed repair process. Therefore, the Appellants argue that there would have been no motivation to use alumina in the repair process of Rigney. Br. 15-16.

The Examiner merely relies on Rigney to establish that it was known in the art to refurbish the ceramic thermal barrier coating of a turbine component. Significantly, one cannot overcome a rejection based on a combination of references under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) by attacking the references individually.

The Appellants also argue that the teachings of Rigney are not relevant to the subject matter of claim 32. Therefore, the Appellants request that the rejection of claim 32 based on the combination of Rigney, Spence, and Hasz be withdrawn. Br. 16.

It is not necessary to decide whether the rejection of claim 32 based on the combination of Rigney, Spence, and Hasz should be withdrawn because the combined teachings of at least Spence and Hasz render obvious the subject matter of claim 32.

F. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Appellants have not sustained their burden of showing that the Examiner erred in rejecting claims 17-25, 27-30, 32-35, and 37 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Spence and Hasz.

The Appellants have not sustained their burden of showing that the Examiner erred in rejecting claims 26 and 36 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Spence, Hasz, and Ceramics and Glasses.

The Appellants have not sustained their burden of showing that the Examiner erred in rejecting claims 32 and 38 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Rigney, Spence, and Hasz.

G. DECISION

The rejection of claims 17-25, 27-30, 32-35, and 37 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Spence and Hasz is <u>affirmed</u>.

The rejection of claims 26 and 36 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Spence, Hasz, and Ceramics and Glasses is affirmed.

The rejection of claims 32 and 38 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Rigney, Spence, and Hasz is affirmed.

No time period for taking any subsequent action in connection with this appeal may be extended under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) (2006).

AFFIRMED

smt

JAGTIANI AND GUTTAG
10363-A DEMOCRACY LANE
FAIRFAX, VA 22030

THE AMERICAN HERITAGE DICTIONARY OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE

WILLIAM MORRIS, Editor

Published by

HOUGHTON MIFFLIN COMPANY / BOSTON
ATLANTA / DALLAS / GENEVA, ILLINOIS / HOPEWELL, NEW JERSEY / PALO ALTO

Words that are believed to be registered trademarks have been checked with authoritative sources. No investigation has been made of common-law trademark rights in any word, because such investigation is impracticable. Words that are known to have current registrations are shown with an initial capital and are also identified as trademarks. The inclusion of any word in this Dictionary is not, however, an expression of the publishers' opinion as to whether or not it is subject to proprietary rights. Indeed, no definition in this Dictionary is to be regarded as affecting the validity of any trademark.

© 1969, 1970, 1971, 1973, 1975, 1976 by Houghton Mifflin Company
All correspondence and inquiries should be directed to
Dictionary Division, Houghton Mifflin Company
One Beacon Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02107

All rights reserved under Bern and Pan-American Copyright Conventions

Standard Book Numbers: 395-09064-4 (de luxe edition);
395-09066-0 (standard edition; thumb-indexed); 395-09070-9 (school edition);
0-395-20359-7 (new college edition; plain edges);
0-395-20360-0 (new college edition; thumb-indexed)

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number 76-86995

Manufactured in the United States of America

Computer-composed by Inforonics, Inc.
in Maynard, Massachusetts

com·plice (kŏm'plĭs) *n. Obsolete.* An associate or accomplice. [Middle English, from Old French, from Latin *complex*, closely connected, hence a confederate : *com-*, together + *-plex*, -fold (see **plek-** in Appendix*).]

com·plic·i·ty (kəm-plĭs'ə-tē) *n., pl.* **-ties.** 1. The state of being an accomplice, as in a wrongdoing. 2. Complexity.

com·pli·er (kəm-plī'ər) *n.* A person who complies or yields.

com·pli·ment (kŏm'plə-mənt) *n.* 1. An expression of praise, admiration, or congratulation. 2. A formal act of civility, courtesy, or respect. 3. *Usually plural.* A formal or ceremonious greeting or remembrance. 4. *Archaic.* A gift presented for services rendered; gratuity. —*tr.v.* **complimented, -menting, -ments.** 1. To pay a compliment to. 2. To show fondness, regard, or respect (for someone) by giving a gift or performing a favor. [French, from Spanish *cumplimiento*, from *cumplir*, to complete, behave properly, be courteous, from Latin *complēre*, to fill up : *com-* (intensive) + *plēre*, to fill (see **pel-**[5] in Appendix*).]

com·pli·men·ta·ry (kŏm'plə-mĕn'tər-ē, -trē) *adj.* 1. Expressing, using, or resembling a compliment. 2. Given free to repay a favor or as an act of courtesy. —**com'pli·men'ta·ri·ly** *adv.*

com·plin (kŏm'plĭn) *n.* Also **Com·plin, com·pline** (kŏm'plĭn, -plīn'). *Ecclesiastical.* 1. The last of the seven **canonical hours** *(see).* 2. The time of day set aside for this prayer, usually just before retiring. [Middle English *compline*, from Old French *complie*, from Medieval Latin *(hōra) complēta*, "completed (hour)," from Latin *complētus*, past participle of *complēre*, COMPLETE.]

com·ply (kəm-plī') *intr.v.* **-plied, -plying, -plies.** 1. To act in accordance with a command, request, rule, wish, or the like. Used with *with.* 2. *Obsolete.* To be courteous or obedient. [Italian *complire*, from Spanish *cumplir*, to complete, do what is proper, be courteous, from Latin *complēre*, to fill up : *com-* (intensive) + *plēre*, to fill (see **pel-**[5] in Appendix*).]

com·po (kŏm'pō) *n., pl.* **-pos.** Any of various combined substances, such as mortar or plaster, formed by mixing ingredients. [Short for COMPOSITION.]

com·po·nent (kəm-pō'nənt) *n.* 1. A simple part, or a relatively complex entity regarded as a part, of a system; element; constituent. 2. A part of a mechanical or electrical complex. 3. *Mathematics.* One of a set of two or more vectors having a sum equal to a given vector. 4. *Chemistry.* Any of the minimum number of substances required to completely specify the composition of all phases of a chemical system. —*adj.* Being or functioning as a component; constituent. [Latin *compōnens*, present participle of *compōnere*, to place together : *com-*, together + *pōnere*, to put (see **apo-** in Appendix*).]

com·port (kəm-pôrt') *v.* **-ported, -porting, -ports.** —*tr.* To conduct or behave (oneself) in a particular manner. —*intr.* To agree, correspond, or harmonize. Used with *with.* [Old French *comporter*, to support, conduct, from Latin *comportāre*, to bring together, later to support : *com-*, together + *portāre*, to carry, bear (see **per-**[2] in Appendix*).]

com·port·ment (kəm-pôrt'mənt) *n.* Bearing; deportment.

com·pose (kəm-pōz') *v.* **-posed, -posing, -poses.** —*tr.* 1. To make up the constituent parts of; constitute or form. See Usage note at **comprise.** 2. To make or create by putting together parts or elements. 3. To create or produce (a literary or musical piece). 4. To make (one's mind or body) calm or tranquil; to quiet. 5. To settle (arguments); reconcile. 6. To arrange aesthetically or artistically. 7. *Printing.* To arrange or set (type or matter to be printed). —*intr.* 1. To create literary or musical pieces. 2. *Printing.* To set type. [Middle English, from Old French *composer* : *com-*, together, from Latin + *poser*, to place, from Latin *pausāre*, to cease, repose, hence to place, from *pausa*, a pause, from Greek *pausis*, from *pauein*, to stop (see **pauein** in Appendix*).]

com·posed (kəm-pōzd') *adj.* Calm; serene; self-possessed. See Synonyms at **cool.** —**com·pos'ed·ly** (-pō'zĭd-lē) *adv.* —**com·pos'ed·ness** (-pō'zĭd-nĭs) *n.*

com·pos·er (kəm-pō'zər) *n. Abbr.* **comp.** A person who composes, especially one who composes music.

composing room. *Printing.* A room where typesetting is done.

composing stick. *Printing.* A small shallow tray, usually metal and with an adjustable end, in which a compositor sets type by hand. Also called "job stick."

com·pos·ite (kəm-pŏz'ĭt) *adj. Abbr.* **comp.** 1. Made up of distinct components; compound. 2. *Mathematics.* Having factors; factorable. 3. *Botany.* Of, belonging to, or characteristic of the Compositae, a large plant family characterized by flower heads consisting of both **ray flowers** and **disk flowers** *(both of which see)*, as in the daisy, of disk flowers only, as in wormwood, or of ray flowers only, as in the dandelion. 4. *Capital* **C.** *Architecture.* Pertaining to or designating the Composite order. —*n.* 1. A composite structure or entity. 2. A complex material, such as wood or fiber glass, in which two or more distinct, structurally complementary substances, especially metals, ceramics, glasses, and polymers, combine to produce some structural or functional properties not present in any individual component. 3. A composite plant. —See Synonyms at **mixture.** [Latin *compositus*, past participle of *compōnere*, to put together : *com-*, together + *pōnere*, to put (see **apo-** in Appendix*).] —**com·pos'ite·ly** *adv.* —**com·pos'ite·ness** *n.*

composite number. An integer exactly divisible by at least one number other than itself or 1.

Composite order. *Architecture.* A Roman capital formed by superimposing Ionic volutes on a Corinthian capital.

composite photograph. A photograph made by combining two or more separate photographs.

com·po·si·tion (kŏm'pə-zĭsh'ən) *n. Abbr.* **comp.** 1. A putting together of parts or elements to form a whole; a combining. 2. The manner in which such parts are combined or related; constitution; make-up. 3. The result or product of composing; mixture; compound. 4. The arrangement of artistic parts so as to form a unified whole. 5. The art or act of composing a literary or musical work. 6. Any work of art, literature, or music, or its structure or organization. 7. A short essay; especially, one written as a school exercise. 8. *Law.* a. A settlement whereby the creditors of a debtor about to enter bankruptcy agree to accept partial payment in lieu of full payment for debts. b. The sum agreed upon. 9. Settlement by mutual agreement or compromise. 10. *Linguistics.* The formation of compounds from separate words. 11. *Printing.* Typesetting. [Middle English *composicioun*, from Old French *composition*, from Latin *compositiō*, from *compōnere*, to put together, arrange : *com-*, together + *pōnere*, to put (see **apo-** in Appendix*).] —**com'po·si'tion·al** *adj.*

composition of forces. The finding or determination of a vector that is the resultant of a given set of forces.

com·pos·i·tive (kəm-pŏz'ə-tĭv) *adj.* Compounded; synthetic.

com·pos·i·tor (kəm-pŏz'ə-tər) *n. Abbr.* **comp.** *Printing.* A typesetter. —**com·pos'i·to'ri·al** (-tôr'ē-əl, -tōr'ē-əl) *adj.*

com·pos men·tis (kŏm'pəs mĕn'tĭs). *Latin.* Of sound mind; sane. Literally, having the mastery of one's mind.

com·post (kŏm'pōst) *n.* 1. A mixture of decaying organic matter, such as leaves and manure, used as fertilizer. 2. A composition; mixture. —*tr.v.* **composted, -posting, -posts.** 1. To fertilize with compost. 2. To change (vegetable matter) to compost. [Middle English, stew, compote, from Old French *composte*, stewed fruit, and *compost*, mixture, respectively from Latin *composita* and *compositum*, feminine and neuter of *compositus*, put together, COMPOSITE.]

com·po·sure (kəm-pō'zhər) *n.* Self-possession; calmness; tranquillity. See Synonyms at **equanimity.** [From COMPOSE.]

com·po·ta·tion (kŏm'pō-tā'shən) *n.* A drinking together; a carouse. [Latin *compōtātiō* (translation of Greek *sumposion*, SYMPOSIUM) : *com-*, together + *pōtātiō*, POTATION.] —**com'po·ta'tor** (-tā'tər) *n.*

com·pote (kŏm'pōt; *French* kôN-pôt') *n.* 1. Fruit stewed or cooked in syrup. 2. A long-stemmed dish, used for holding fruit, nuts, or candy. [French, from Old French *composte*, stewed fruit, COMPOST.]

com·pound[1] (kŏm-pound', kəm-) *v.* **-pounded, -pounding, -pounds.** —*tr.* 1. To combine; mix. 2. To produce or create by combining two or more ingredients or parts. 3. *Pharmacology.* To mix (drugs) according to prescription. 4. To settle (a debt, for example) by agreeing on an amount less than the claim; adjust. 5. To compute (interest) on the principal and accrued interest. 6. *Law.* To agree, for payment or other consideration, not to prosecute: *compound a felony.* 7. To add to; increase. —*intr.* 1. To come to terms; agree. 2. To settle or compromise with a creditor. —See Synonyms at **mix.** —*adj.* (kŏm'pound, kŏm-pound'). Consisting of two or more substances, ingredients, elements, or parts. —*n.* (kŏm'pound). *Abbr.* **comp., cpd.** 1. A combination of two or more elements or parts. 2. A combination of words or word elements regarded as a unit according to various linguistic analyses, as: a. A graphemic unit containing two or more free forms, or one or more free forms with one or more bound forms, with or without hyphenation. b. A word containing two or more elements that have perceptible lexical meaning. c. An intonational pattern exhibiting a primary stress and a terminal juncture. d. A sequence of words not connected by a functional element in surface structure but functioning as a grammatical kernel in deep structure. 3. *Chemistry.* A pure, macroscopically homogeneous substance consisting of atoms or ions of two or more different elements in definite proportions, and usually having properties unlike those of its constituent elements. —See Synonyms at **mixture.** [Middle English *compounen*, from Old French *compon(d)re*, from Latin *compōnere*, to put together : *com-*, together + *pōnere*, to put (see **apo-** in Appendix*).] —**com·pound'a·ble** *adj.* —**com·pound'er** *n.*

com·pound[2] (kŏm'pound) *n.* A residence or group of residences set off and enclosed by a barrier, as: 1. In the Orient, such a group of residences for Europeans. 2. In Africa, a group of huts for native workers. 3. Such an enclosure used for confining prisoners of war. [Portuguese *campon* or Dutch *kampoeng*, from Malay *kampong*, village, cluster of buildings.]

com·pound-com·plex sentence (kŏm'pound-kŏm'plĕks). A sentence consisting of at least two coordinate independent clauses and one or more dependent clauses.

compound eye. The eye of most insects and some crustaceans, composed of many light-sensitive elements, each with its own refractive system and each forming a portion of an image.

compound flower. A flower head of a composite plant, consisting of numerous small flowers appearing as a single bloom.

compound fraction. *Mathematics.* A **complex fraction** *(see).*

compound fracture. A fracture in which broken bone lacerates soft tissue.

compound interest. Interest computed on the accumulated unpaid interest as well as on the original principal. Compare **simple interest.**

compound leaf. A leaf consisting of two or more separate leaflets borne on a single leafstalk.

compound microscope. A microscope consisting of an objective and an eyepiece at opposite ends of an adjustable tube.

compound number. A quantity, such as 10 pounds 5 ounces or 3 feet 4 inches, involving different units of measure.



component
Components of a vector V along coordinate axes

compote
Nineteenth-century American amber glass

composing stick



compound eye
Compound eyes of a bluebottle fly