

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte ROBERT GOLDBERG, BRUCE K. DANIELS,
YURY KAMEN, AND SYED M. ALI

Appeal 2007-3634
Application 10/104,086
Technology Center 2100

Mailed: September 26, 2008

Before DALE M. SHAW, *Chief Appeals Administrator*
SHAW, *Chief Appeals Administrator*.

ORDER REMANDING APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on July 11, 2007. A docketing notice was mailed and Appeal No. 2007-3634 was assigned on July 20, 2007. A review of the application has revealed that the application was not ready for an appeal.

Appeal 2008-3237
Application 10/104,086

Accordingly, the application is herewith being remanded to the Examiner. The matter requiring attention is identified below.

Claims 1-27 of the instant application are set forth as method claims that may not fall with one of the four statutory categories of invention recited in 35 U.S.C. § 101. On May 15, 2008 the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examining Policy, John J. Love, issued a memorandum entitled “Clarification of ‘Processes’ under 35 U.S.C. § 101.” This memorandum is further used in conjunction with the Interim Guidelines and the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure § 2106.IV.B, when determining whether a claimed invention falls within a statutory category of invention. There is a question as to whether claims 1-27 meet the requirements of being a patent eligible process under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the Examiner to determine if claims 1-27 meet the requirements of being a patent eligible process under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

If there are any questions pertaining to this order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

DMS/rk

Osha Liang L.L.P./Sun
1221 McKinney, Suite 2800
Houston, TX 77010