

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was not written for publication and is not binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 14

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES**

Ex parte BERNARD TICKNER

Appeal No. 2004-1268
Application No. 09/944,932

VACATUR AND REMAND

Before WILLIAM F. SMITH, ADAMS, and GRIMES, Administrative Patent Judges.

WILLIAM F. SMITH, Administrative Patent Judge.

This appeal involves plant patent Application No. 09/944,932. The question raised in this appeal involves whether evidence of foreign sales of the claimed reproducible plant variety may enable an otherwise non-enabled printed publication disclosing the plant, thereby creating a bar under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b). The Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit considered that issue in In re Elsner, 381 F.3d 1125, 72 USPQ2d 1038 (Fed. Cir. 2004), and held in the affirmative. Id. at 1128, 72 USPQ2d at 1041. In so holding, the court stated that “[t]he foreign sale must not be an obscure, solitary occurrence that would go unnoticed by those skilled in the art.” Id. at 1131, 72 USPQ2d at 1043. The court also stated that the record did not establish that “even if the interested public would readily know of the foreign sales, those sales enabled one of ordinary skill in the art to reproduce the claimed plants without undue

experimentation.” Id. Thus, the court vacated the Board’s decision and remanded the case for “further factual findings relating to the accessibility of the foreign sales of the claimed plants and the reproducibility of the claimed plants from the plants that were sold.”Id.

In this case, the examiner is relying upon applicant’s admission that the claimed plant “was sold in The United Kingdom as early as April 1, 1998” as evidence that United Kingdom PBR 03000204 is enabled. Examiner’s Answer, page 4. However, there is no evidence whether the sales were of the type that would be noticed by those of skill in the art. Nor has the other issue raised by the Federal Circuit in Elsner, whether the sales would enable one skilled in the art to reproduce the claimed plant without undue experimentation, been addressed.

Accordingly, we vacate the examiner’s rejection and remand the case to the examiner to determine whether the sales of the claimed plant (1) were “an obscure, solitary occurrence that would go unnoticed by those skilled in the art” and (2) would enable one to reproduce the plant without undue experimentation.

VACATED; REMANDED

William F. Smith)	
Administrative Patent Judge)	
)	
)	BOARD OF PATENT
)	
Donald E. Adams)	
Administrative Patent Judge)	APPEALS AND
)	
)	INTERFERENCES
)	
Eric Grimes)	
Administrative Patent Judge)	

Appeal No. 2004-1268
Application No. 09/944,932

Page 3

Mark P. Bourgeois
P.O. Box 95
Osceola, IN 46561

dem